International Handbook of Occupational Therapy Interventions

Chapter 37 Pain Management: Functional Restoration for Chronic Low-Back-Pain Clients

Laura Stana, Anne Bouchez, Serge Fanello, and Isabelle Richard

Movement is not that dangerous, I can make it!

—Client

Abstract Functional restoration is a concept for intervention of low back pain that has been developed by Mayer et al. (1985). It relies on the concept that disability and participation restriction among clients with low back pain is the result of complex interactions among pain, physical deconditioning induced by inactivity, and psychosocial issues. The social cost, mainly indirect costs, induced by sick leave payments for chronic low back pain is high, and this has led to the development of multidisciplinary programs that include occupational therapy interventions.

Keywords Coping behavior • Ergonomics • Low back pain • Sick leave • Weight lifting

Definitions

Chronic low back pain is pain of the lumbar region lasting for more than 3 months. Functional restoration is the intervention program for nonspecific low back pain (i.e., infectious and tumor diseases are excluded) and other musculoskeletal diseases. The program is not aimed at reducing the level of pain, but rather focuses on physical reconditioning and coping strategies (Schonstein et al., 2003).

Background

Low back pain is a biopsychosocial issue. Treatments exclusively aimed at the biomedical aspects of low back pain, such as prescription of analgesic medicine, surgery, and corsets, are effective in the acute phase.

364 L. Stana et al.

Multidisciplinary functional restoration programs have been used in the chronic phase of low back pain since 1980s. Although differences among the various programs exist, they share a common framework, including (1) physical reconditioning, which is achieved by the clients' participation in intensive physical activities; (2) psychological counseling aimed at the development of coping mechanisms; and (3) modifications of the work environment (Poireaudeau et al., 2007; Schonstein et al., 2003).

Purpose

The objective of the functional restoration programs is that the clients return to work and resume social and leisure activities.

Method

Candidates for the Intervention

Functional restoration is indicated for clients suffering from chronic low back pain of nonspecific origin. Some programs are embedded in public policies aimed at reducing sick leave and enrolling only clients with work contracts (Loisel et al., 2003).

Epidemiology

The incidence of chronic low back pain in developed countries ranges between 60% and 90%. The prevalence is estimated at 5%. In the majority of cases (85–95%) pain and disability disappear within 3 months (Müllersdorf and Soderback, 2000).

Settings

The functional restoration programs are conducted by multidisciplinary teams and provided in rehabilitation outpatient facilities. They usually last for 3 to 5 weeks, and clients participate full time or part time.

Results

The Role of the Occupational Therapist

Occupational therapists (OTs) are responsible for the following:

- Assessments of activity limitations and participation restrictions using various available assessment instruments [e.g., Dallas pain questionnaire (Lawlis et al., 1989); Oswestry Low Back Pain Questionnaire (Fairbanks et al., 1980) or Capability to Perform Daily Occupations, an assessment adapted to occupational performances (Schult, 2002).
- Evaluation and retraining of activities directly related to work tasks, such as weight-lifting tasks. A client's ability to lift weights is measured using the progressive isoinertial lifting evaluation (PILE) (Mayer et al., 1988). The clients are required to lift blocks from the floor and place them on shelves at the level of the person's shoulder. The lifting capacity is measured by increasing the weight, starting with 5 kg (men) and 2.5 kg (women) and stepping up by 5-kg (men) and 2.5-kg (women) increments. The score is represented by the maximum weight that can be lifted.
- Investigation of the work tasks that are required by the clients to be performed during a workday. Based on the information, a training program is designed in which the client performs the work tasks in a simulated or real-life environment.
- Information on the benefits of physical activities. Clients often believe that reduction of performances of activities is necessary to treat their pain. The level of this restricting behavior is assessed by using the Fear-Avoidance Beliefs Questionnaire (FABQ) (Wadell et al., 1993).
- Thereafter, OTs and team members provide coherent information and demonstrate
 attitudes that promote the clients' active coping, aimed at decreasing clients'
 fear and avoidance of movements and increasing performances of daily activities
 and participation in social life.
- Counseling in which the client, relatives, coworkers, and managers participate to
 decide on possible modifications of the work environment. These interventions are
 individually performed at the workplace. They are directed to (1) physical aspects of
 the work environment, such as limiting weight lifting and the possible use of
 weight-lifting devices; and (2) management aspects of the work organization, such
 as possible cooperation between coworkers, and supervisors' attitude to the worker.

Clinical Application

Occupational Therapy Within a Multidisciplinary Pain Management Function Restoration Program

The programs are usually organized as intensive outpatient programs lasting 5 weeks with a full-time schedule. The interventions are performed in the rehabilitative setting, conducted in groups of five to 12 clients, or by individual counseling at the workplace.

The duration of the programs varies from 10 to more than 200 hours (Poireaudeau, 2007). The OT sessions account for approximately one third of all activities during the program.

366 L. Stana et al.

The content of a functional restoration program includes the following:

• *Physiotherapy:* training of muscle flexibility, trunk muscle strengthening, and aerobic exercise for 1 to 3 hours per day

- Sports activities: for 1 to 6 hours per week
- Occupational therapy: clients perform weight-lifting tasks, or simulation of work tasks using, for example, gardening, bricklaying, for 1 to 2 hours per day
- Psychological counseling: performed in individual sessions or during group activities
- Counseling: during workplace visits

How the Intervention Eases Impairments, Activity Limitations, and Participation Restrictions

Functional restoration programs allow clients to "work in spite of pain" and thus resume performance of activities that help clients return to work.

Evidence-Based Practice

The functional restoration program has proved effective for (1) the main outcome measure of increasing participation of low back pain clients in returning to work; and (2) decreasing the number of days on sick leave (Jousset et al., 2004; Kaapa et al., 2006; Kool et al., 2007; Poireaudeau et al., 2007: Schonstein et al., 2003), and increasing the muscle endurance (Roche et al., 2007). These results are strongly dependent on the social security system of the country in which the program is conducted (Poireaudeau et al., 2007).

Discussion

The precise design of the functional restoration programs vary among rehabilitation clinics around the world. At present, there is restricted evidence for which intervention parts (Schonstein et al., 2003) or intensity (Roche et al., 2007) is required of the program to bring about a positive effect. Moreover, the cost-effectiveness of these programs also warrants further studies.

References

Fairbanks, J., Couper, J., Davies, J., and O'Brien, J. (1980). The Oswestry Low Back Pain Disability Questionnaire. Physiotherapy, 66, 271–273.

- Jousset, N., Fanello, S., Bontoux, L., et al. (2004). Effects of functional restoration versus 3 hours per week physical therapy. A randomised controlled study. Spine, 29, 487–493.
- Kaapa, E.H., Frantsi, K., Sarna, S., and Malmivaara, A. (2006). Multidisciplinary group rehabilitation versus individual physiotherapy for chronic non-specific low back pain. A randomized trial. Spine. 31, 371–376.
- Kool, J., Bachmann, S., Oesch, P., et al. (2007). Function centered rehabilitation increase work days in clients with non-acute nonspecific low back pain: 1-year results from a randomised controlled trial. Arch Phys Med Rehabil, 88, 1089–1094.
- Lawlis, G.F., Cuencas, R., Selby, D., and McCoy, C.E. (1989). The development of the Dallas Pain Questionnaire. An assessment of the impact of spinal pain on behavior. Spine, 14, 511–516.
- Loisel, P., Durand, M.J., Diallo, B., Vachon, B., Charpentier, N., and Labelle, J. (2003). From evidence to community practice in work rehabilitation: the Quebec experience. Clin J Pain, 19(2), 105–113.
- Mayer, T., Barnes, D., Kishino, N., et al. Progressive isoinertial lifting evaluation. I. (1988). A standardized protocol and normative database. Spine, 13, 993–997.
- Mayer, T., Smith, S., Keeley, J., and Mooney, V. (1985). Quantification of lumbar function. Part 2: Sagittal plane trunk strength in chronic low-back pain patients. Spine, 10, 765–772.
- Müllersdorf, M., and Soderback, I. (2000). Assessing health care needs. The actual state of self-percieved activity limitation and participation restrictions due to pain in a national-wide Swedish population. Int J Rehabil Res, 23, 201–207.
- Poireaudeau, S., Rannou, F., and Revel, M. (2007). Functional restoration programs for low back pain. A systematic review. Ann Readapt Med Phys, 50, 425–429.
- Roche, G., Ponthieux, A., Parot-Shinkel, E., et al. (2007). Comparison of a functional restoration program with active individual physical therapy for clients with chronic low back pain. A randomised controlled trial. Arch Phys Med Rehabil, 88, 1229–1235.
- Schonstein, E., Kenny, D.T., Keeating, J., Koes, B.W. (2003). Work conditioning, work hardening and functional restoration for workers with back and neck pain. Cochrane Database Syst Rev, CD001822.
- Schult, M.-L. (2002). Multidimensional assessment of people with chronic pain. A critical appraisal of the Person, Environment, Occupation Model. Monograph, Uppsala dissertations from the Faculty of Medicine, vol. 6, Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden.